

**Statement of the Basis for Attorney in Fact**

The right of William Kristofer Wolf to designate Gary Hunt to speak on his behalf, as if he were Mr. Wolf, was affirmed by the United States Supreme Court in **Whitmore v. Arkansas**, 495 US 149 (1990). This Court, upholding an ancient right, held that another could person could represent or speak on behalf of the incarcerated party, for habeas corpus, under certain conditions. In that decision, at 150:

*(c) Whitmore's alternative argument that he has standing as Simmons' "next friend" is also rejected. The scope of any federal "next friend" standing doctrine, assuming that one exists absent congressional authorization, is no broader than the "next friend" standing permitted under the federal habeas corpus statute. Thus, one necessary condition is a showing by the proposed "next friend" that the real party in interest is unable to litigate his own cause due to mental incapacity, lack of access to court, or other similar disability...*

This was affirmed by the United States Supreme Court, Docket 13-5009, when the Court allowed this Attorney in Fact to submit an Habeas Corpus to that Court, based upon the precedence established in Whitmore.

Therefore, this Demand is placed before this Court, and that this Court is expected to abide by the Law of the Land, and allow William Kristofer Wolf to have the Assistance of Counsel as well as all other rights secured by the Constitution, in allowing Gary Hunt, as Attorney in Fact, to speak on his behalf in all matters concerning Habeas Corpus ad subjiciendum.

So demanded by William Krisstofer Wolf, on his own behalf.

 (SEAL)  
William Krisstofer Wolf

That this Demand was served on an officer of the Court above said, or its agent, on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of April, 2015, at \_\_\_\_\_: \_\_\_\_\_ M. *By us mail*